

# Agitors and Maxcretes Handle Harsh Concrete Mix In NY City Water Tunnel

Grow-Perini-Skanska J.V. New York City Water Tunnel No. 3



### **Project Specifications**

The New York City Water Tunnel No. 3 is the largest capital construction project in New York City's history. Constructed under the direction of the New York City Department of Environmental Protection (DEP), the completed tunnel will stretch over 60 miles and take 50 years to construct in its entirety. When completed, City Water Tunnel No. 3 will join Water Tunnels No. 1 and No. 2 in supplying water from reservoirs in Upstate New York to the residents in all five boroughs of New York City.

City Water Tunnel No. 3 is being constructed for 2 primary reasons. The first two water tunnels (No. 1 and No. 2), placed in service in 1917 and 1936, respectively, have not been inspected and repaired since they were opened. Bv constructing and opening No. 3, the city will be able temporary close the original two tunnels for inspection and repairs while No. 3 continues to supply water to the city. And, secondly, with the continued growth of New York City's five boroughs, a third tunnel will be needed to supply water to the larger population.

City Water Tunnel No. 3 is being constructed in some of the hardest bedrock known at 250 to 800 feet below the surface. City Water Tunnel No. 3 is divided into 4 stages. The first stage has been completed and was put into service back in 1998. City Water Tunnel No. 3, stage 2 is currently under construction and will consist of two sections, one under Manhattan, and one under Queens and Brooklyn. In 1996, Grow, Perini, Skanska Joint Venture (J.V.) was awarded and began excavating a tunnel section under Queens. The tunnel consists of 5 miles of 23' diameter rough bore with a 20' dia. finished full concrete liner, (18" thick).

## The challenge

A number of obstacles have made the construction of this tunnel particularly difficult. First, this section of the tunnel is being constructed directly below the Queens Borough. A large jobsite at the surface could not be constructed in this fully developed neighborhood. And, the J.V. was forced to keep noise and traffic in the residential area to a minimum. To reduce truck traffic in the neighborhood, the J.V. was required to remove the tunnel spoils (brought up from the tunnel mining below) via rail cars, instead of dump trucks. A relatively small job site was selected for the drop shaft, with limited space for equipment staging, offices, mining spoils removal, and concrete delivery trucks.

Secondly, the J.V. was required by the contract to utilize a very difficult concrete mix for the tunnel lining. Having the results of multiple tunnels in NY City to rely on, (water/sewer/subway), the tunnel owners,

Maxon Industries Inc. ■ 3204 W. Mill Road ■ Milwaukee, WI 53209 ■ Phone: (414) 351-4000 Fax: (414) 351-9057 ■ Website: <u>www.maxon.com</u> ■ e-mail: <u>sales@maxon.com</u> NY City Department of Environmental Protection, required the J.V. to use an "older" concrete mix design, utilizing 1 ½" trap rock (granite) and little cement. Transporting, placing, and pumping this "mix" was difficult. Grow Tunneling, the project sponsor and a local contractor, had previous experience with this mix design. They knew that the concrete system they would choose, would be critical to ensuring that the concrete was still useable when it reached the forms.

Next, as previously mentioned, the tunnel was constructed in bedrock that consisted of extremely hard trap rock. The Tunnel Boring Machine (TBM) purchased by the J.V. encountered numerous delays. "Having excavated a number of tunnels before in New York, we knew going in that the tunneling would be slow," commented Len Epstein, VP, Grow Tunneling. "However, once we got into the tunneling phase, we had numerous delays and spent countless hours/days changing out cutters." By the time the TBM had completed the rough bore, the J.V. was already months behind schedule. The J.V. turned to Maxon Industries looking for ways to transport and place the concrete underground faster than previous methods utilized in New York.

# The solution

Maxon Industries proposed a system consisting of Maxon Agitors and Maxon Maxcretes. Trains of rail mounted Maxon Agitors transport the concrete from the drop shaft to the forms. A Maxon Maxcrete Remix Surge Hopper then accepts the concrete from the Agitors, remixes the concrete, and feeds a continuous stream of concrete to the pump. Before the J.V. placed the order for the concrete system, Maxon Industries and the J.V performed a study comparing the Maxon system to previously used methods. The system of Maxon equipment was found to be capable of delivering concrete up to 50% faster (theoretical) than previous methods utilizing agitating cars (revolving "drum style" mixers on railcars). In the fall of 1998, Grow purchased and placed into service 12 Maxon Agitors and 2 Maxon Maxcretes.

#### **The Concrete System**

Ferrara Bros., Flushing, NY, supplied the concrete to the jobsite/drop shaft. The harsh concrete mix consisted of 1 ½" trap rock with a low cement content, and super plasticizer added at the plant. Transit times from the plant to the site averaged 25-35 minutes. The concrete had a slump of roughly 7" at the plant, 6" at the drop shaft, and 4" when it reached the head. All the concrete for the entire length of the 25,504 linear foot tunnel was delivered to a single drop shaft at the end of the tunnel.



Concrete delivered to site, fed to drop pipe

At the bottom of the drop shaft, approx. 750' underground, trains of three Maxon Agitors on rail cars would be filled with 13 cubic yards of concrete each. The open top of the Agitors made for rapid loading and easy inspection.

Each Agitor was specially equipped to handle the harsh mix. Heavy-duty agitator shafts with T-1 steel paddles were installed to prevent any bending of the paddles/arm. The force of dropping the concrete 750' down the drop pipe caused some segregation, but was corrected by the agitator shaft of the Agitors and the agitation in the Maxon Maxcrete located at the pump.



Open top Agitor accepts concrete from drop pipe

The Agitors were also equipped with hightorque, heavy-duty hydraulic motors to keep the agitator turning in the low slump – harsh aggregate concrete. And, the Agitors included electric motors to power the hydraulic motor for the agitator, hoist, gate and vibrator. When the

Maxon Industries Inc. ■ 3204 W. Mill Road ■ Milwaukee, WI 53209 ■ Phone: (414) 351-4000 Fax: (414) 351-9057 ■ Website: <u>www.maxon.com</u> ■ e-mail: <u>sales@maxon.com</u> Agitors reached the head of the tunnel, they were plugged into the power supply of the tunnel to power the electric motor, and the hydraulic functions.

After all three Agitors were fully loaded (approximately 8 minutes for 39 cu. yds.), a locomotive would transport the three Agitors/rail cars to the head of the tunnel. Actual transit times varied, with a maximum transit time of 55 minutes when the forms were furthest from the drop shaft. The J. V. installed a California switch at the drop shaft as well as at the midpoint in the tunnel to allow the train of loaded Agitors to pass the empty cars returning to the drop shaft.

When the train of loaded Agitors arrived at the head, the locomotive would push the train of three Agitors up on to an elevated rolling platform/bridge. The bridge, provided by Everest, included a switch, which allowed two trains of Agitors to pass while on the bridge. As the tunnel formworks progressed, the bridge (with the pump, Maxcrete, and any Agitors) would be moved.



1<sup>st</sup> Agitor discharges into Maxcrete

Once on the bridge, the train of full Agitors would be backed up to the Maxcrete, also on a rail car on the bridge. The Agitors would then be plugged into the power supply and the first Agitor would begin agitating the concrete. After a few revolutions, the Agitor would begin discharging the complete load into the Maxcrete. Both the Agitors and the Maxcrete were equipped with short transfer chutes to allow for fast concrete flow from one to the other. The large gate of the Agitor allowed for full discharge of 13 yards of low slump concrete in less than 2 minutes.



1<sup>st</sup> Agitor discharges into Maxcrete, while tow cable is run to 2<sup>nd</sup> Agitor (at far right)

While the first Agitor was discharging, the coupler between the 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> cars was released and the locomotive would pull the 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> Agitors back approximately 20', just behind the switch. The switch would then be thrown. A tow cable would then be run from the 2<sup>nd</sup> car to the 1<sup>st</sup> car. When the 1<sup>st</sup> Agitor was done discharging into the Maxcrete, the Locomotive would back further, pulling the now empty 1<sup>st</sup> Agitor car back through the switch, and onto the parallel track, out of the way. The cable would be disconnected and the locomotive would then push the two full Agitors toward the Maxcrete.



1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> Agitor after discharging full load, wait on bridge for 3<sup>rd</sup> Agitor to complete

Following a similar procedure, the 2<sup>nd</sup> and then the 3<sup>rd</sup> Agitor in turn would then be positioned

by the locomotive, discharge their full loads, and be pulled back through the switch. The complete cycle of discharging all three Agitors took less than 10 minutes.

"The total discharge time for all three Agitors was definitely faster than with our old concrete system (revolving style mixer drum)." commented Don Hickey, Project Engineer. "The savings in time kept our concrete production moving at maximum speed."

While the Agitors were discharging into the Maxcrete, the Maxcrete would be remixing the concrete, ensuring the best possible concrete delivery to the pump. The Maxcrete's bi-rotational 18 paddle horizontal mixing shaft allowed even the stiffest loads to be remixed. By the time the concrete reached the Maxcrete the slump was approximately 4", with some loads under 1" slump.



Maxcrete awaits next set of Agitors while feeding consistent supply of concrete to the pump

The Maxcrete was specially built to connect directly to the hopper of the Schwing pump Ken Williams of Pumpcrete. supplied by Pumpcrete, in turn, modified their pump hopper to exactly match the shape of the Maxcrete discharge gate. The result: a seamless transition from the Agitors to the Maxcrete remix surge hopper to the pump hopper, without any conveyors. "The fit between the Maxcrete and the pump was excellent," commented Jim Disley, Equipment Superintendent. "We were concerned about having to use conveyors in any concrete system. Maxon and Pumpcrete offered us a great solution without the conveyors. It was maintenance free option."

The pump supplied concrete to the 480 linear feet of Everest forms through an overhead slick line. Because the contract required the J.V. to bulkhead each time they stopped placing, the concrete system would run 24 hours a day, Monday through Friday. The 18" thick concrete lining took 3.78 cubic yards per linear foot. As the J.V. got closer to the drop shaft, and transit times were reduced, production reached over 5000 cubic yards per week.



Concrete pump (shown above ground) with Modified hopper for exact fit to Maxcrete

"We had difficulties at first utilizing the concrete system at its full capacity," commented Alan Paskoff, Project Manager. "But Maxon was very supportive and we worked through it. Once we had all the pieces and personnel working together, we made very good time. We would never return to the old method of using revolving drum style agitator cars."

"We had a lot of obstacles to overcome in the project: only one drop shaft, a harsh concrete mix, the New York labor pool...." comments Don Hickey, Proj. Engineer. "But when the project was completed, we knew that our decision to use Maxon equipment was a definite time saver."



Trains of Agitors pass on bridge in 23' (276") diameter tunnel

#### Project Personnel:

Alan Paskoff Don Hickey Jim Disley Len Epstein

Project Manager Project Engineer Equipment Supt. VP, Grow Tunneling